Protection From Claims of Minors (in descending order of effectiveness)

- 1. A <u>quality program</u>, including good screening, disclosure, training, supervision, etc.
- 2. <u>Inherent Risks</u>. "Primary Assumption of Risks" No duty to protect a minor from inherent risks, which are impliedly assumed and which in a few states might have to be known or obvious. Inherent risks may be declared by statutes (Equine laws, for example.)

3. <u>Assumed Risks</u>

- a) <u>Expressly</u> assumed by a minor. (Generally no duty to protect from risks expressly assumed. Can a minor be bound by his or her written agreement to assume? Very much like a contract, so perhaps not. Can a parent assume a risk on behalf of a minor? See below.)
- b) <u>"Secondary Assumption of Risks."</u> (Arises where there is a duty to protect from the risk (not inherent), and a known danger, but minor participates anyway. May produce a comparative fault analysis.)
- 4. <u>Parents agree</u> in writing that child has been advised of the inherent/assumed risks and child chooses to participate anyway. Uncertain of the effect of this.
- 5. Written indemnities by parent
 - a) Rarely valid if against minor's claim.
 - b) May be valid as to other family members' claims, including non-signing parent.

6. Release

- a) Minor cannot be bound by his or her signature.
- b) Parent can release his or her (parent's) claim.
- c) Other (non-signing) parent may be bound, by apparent ratification.
- d) Minor may ratify (including by continued participation?) after majority.
- e) In some contexts/states parent can sign on behalf of a minor (Ohio, California, Massachusetts, Florida in school and volunteer, recreation, and community programs; now, by statute, in Colorado and Alaska.
- 7. Special statutes, declaring inherent risks and immunities, for example.

Wilderness Risk Management Conference Proceedings





